

Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation in an Octogenarian Patient with Single Coronary Artery

Luis Enrique Lezcano Gort^{1*}, Cecilio Raúl Rodríguez Carreras¹,
Sebastián Alejandro Romani¹ and Imara Herrera Denis²

¹Hemodynamic and Interventional Cardiology Unit, San Pedro de Alcántara University Hospital, Cáceres, Spain.

²Emergency Coordinating Centre, Extremadura, Spain.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Author LELG managed the literature search and prepared the manuscript. Authors CRRC, SAR and IHD edited and revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/CA/2016/30046

Editor(s):

(1) Gen-Min Lin, Division of Cardiology, Hualien-Armed Forces General Hospital, National Defense Medical Center, Taiwan.

Reviewers:

(1) Claus Andersen, Odense University hospital, Denmark.

(2) Muhammad Tariq Shakoor, Tufts University School of Medicine, USA.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history/17000>

Case Report

Received 14th October 2016
Accepted 19th November 2016
Published 23rd November 2016

ABSTRACT

Aims: We herein report the case of a patient with severe aortic stenosis and a single coronary artery arising from the right sinus of valsalva, who successfully underwent transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI).

Case Presentation: A 86-year-old Caucasian woman was admitted with acute coronary syndrome. Coronary angiography and transthoracic echocardiography revealed the coexistence of single coronary artery and severe aortic stenosis. The patient underwent successful TAVI with Edwards SAPIEN XT valve.

Discussion: Single coronary artery is a rare congenital coronary artery anomaly. Its coexistence with severe aortic stenosis in the context of acute coronary syndrome is even rarer. Knowledge is scarce about feasibility and safety of TAVI in patients with coronary artery anomalies. This procedure is associated with a very low incidence of coronary obstruction, a catastrophic complication in the setting of a single coronary ostium.

Conclusion: This case highlights that TAVI can be safely performed in carefully selected patients with single coronary artery.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: lezcanogort@gmail.com;

Keywords: *Acute coronary syndrome; single coronary artery; aortic valve stenosis; transcatheter aortic valve replacement.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Single coronary artery arising from the right sinus of valsalva is a rare congenital coronary artery anomaly with an estimated prevalence of approximately 0.047% [1]. Its coexistence with severe aortic stenosis in the context of acute coronary syndrome is even rarer [2]. TAVI, a therapeutic option for patients with symptomatic severe aortic stenosis and a high risk for conventional surgery, is associated with a very low incidence of coronary obstruction [3], a potentially catastrophic complication in the setting of a single coronary ostium. We present an octogenarian female admitted with acute coronary syndrome, and the combination of single coronary artery and severe aortic stenosis, who underwent successful TAVI with Edwards SAPIEN XT valve.

2. PRESENTATION OF CASE

A 86-year-old Caucasian female presented to the hospital with intense anginal chest pain and diaphoresis. Her past medical history was relevant for hypertension, diabetes, hypercholesterolemia, and dual chamber pacing for complete atrio-ventricular block (DDD pacing mode). On admission, her blood pressure was 157/89 mmHg, heart rate was regular with 70 beats per minute, breath sounds were normal, and cardiac auscultation was relevant for a grade IV/VI systolic murmur, best heard over primary aortic area and radiated to carotid arteries, with rough quality and absence of second heart sound. Electrocardiogram showed atrial sensed ventricular paced rhythm at 70 beats per minute. Chest X-ray revealed mild cardiomegaly and correct position of pacemaker leads, without pulmonary congestion. High-sensitive cardiac troponin T was elevated (On admission 147 ng/l, which peaked at 544 ng/l), and estimated GFR on admission was 45 ml/min/1.73 m². Transthoracic echocardiogram showed severe aortic valve stenosis (indexed aortic valve area of 0.49 cm²/m²; mean aortic gradient of 84 mmHg; peak velocity of 5.52 m/s), with concentric left ventricular hypertrophy, preserved left ventricular systolic function, and estimated systolic pulmonary artery pressure of 34 mmHg (Fig. 1). Coronary angiography revealed a single coronary artery arising from the right sinus of valsalva bifurcating into a right coronary artery within a normal course, and a less developed left

coronary artery with an intra-septal proximal course (Fig. 2). There was no significant coronary artery stenosis, excluding a type 1 myocardial infarction. On day 3 of hospitalization, the patient developed acute heart failure with worsening renal function, that improved with a course of intravenous diuretics guided cautiously. Since the logistic EuroScore and STS score were 29.95% and 12.1% respectively, TAVI with a transfemoral approach was decided by the heart team based on the high risk profile of the patient. Multi-slice computed tomography confirmed previous angiographic findings, aortic annulus diameter of 22,5 mm, and distance between aortic annulus and single coronary ostium of 15,5 mm. Heart team not considered this exceptional anatomy a contraindication to the TAVI procedure because the single coronary ostium was far enough from the aortic annulus to deploy the prosthesis without compromising the origin of the single coronary artery. Valvuloplasty with aortography was performed prior to the implantation of the valve, confirming an unobstructed coronary artery. The implantation of a 26 mm Edwards SAPIEN XT valve was successfully carried out, and immediate post procedural aortogram showed good position of the valve, with mild aortic regurgitation (Fig. 3). At 6-month follow up, the patient showed improvement in NYHA functional class (II), and repeated echocardiogram showed a well-functioning prosthetic valve, and only mild aortic regurgitation.

3. DISCUSSION

First described by Thebesius in 1716 [4], single coronary artery is a rare congenital coronary artery anomaly. Since most patients are asymptomatic, diagnosis is usually an incidental finding on noninvasive imaging. Nonetheless, it can cause angina, myocardial infarction, or even sudden death [5]. Our patient, an octogenarian female with no history of coronary artery disease, presented with non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction, and coronary angiography played a key role clinching the diagnosis and excluding associated atherosclerotic coronary artery disease. Furthermore, echocardiographic examination revealed a severe aortic valve stenosis. The prevalence of aortic stenosis increases with age, reaching 9,8% at ages 80 to 89 years [6]. This combination (single coronary artery and severe aortic valve stenosis) is

extremely rare in clinical practice, and management of this highly complex patients should be based on individual assessment.




Fig. 1. Continuous-wave doppler of severe aortic stenosis jet




Fig. 2. Coronary angiogram showing single coronary artery arising from the right sinus of valsalva




Fig. 3. Fluoroscopic image after Edwards SAPIEN XT valve deployment

TAVI is a proven therapeutic option for patients with symptomatic aortic valve stenosis and very high surgical risk. The incidence of coronary artery anomalies in this subgroup of patients remains unknown, and there is currently scarce evidence about feasibility and safety of the procedure in cases of single coronary artery. Coronary obstruction occurs in ~ 1% of procedures, but it could be life threatening in the setting of a single coronary ostium. The main risk factors include bulky calcified leaflets, shallow sinus of valsalva, and low origin of coronary arteries. Unlike surgery, in which there is full and direct anatomical exposure, in TAVI the operator must rely on pre and intraprocedural imaging techniques. Thus, anatomic considerations during the screening process are an essential component for the successful implementation of TAVI. There are only 4 cases collected in the literature of TAVI in patients with a single coronary artery [7-9]. Sorbets et al. safely performed two of these procedures, and implanted an Edwards SAPIEN XT valve and a Medtronic Corevalve prosthesis respectively. They anticipated the risk of coronary obstruction, performing balloon valvuloplasty angiography. Giri et al. implanted the Edwards SAPIEN XT valve, and placed a coronary guidewire in the left coronary artery as a preventive technique prior to prosthesis implantation. Finally, Dursun et al. [9] closely monitored hemodynamic status of the patient and performed aortography in each step of the procedure. In TAVI, accuracy is one of the key aspects to help avoid complications, and aortography is a critical step for reliable delivery of the prosthesis. In our case, balloon valvuloplasty angiography prior to prosthesis deployment was crucial to anticipate the risk of coronary obstruction. To the best of our knowledge, this is the fifth case reported in the literature of TAVI in a patient with single coronary artery, and the third of Edwards SAPIEN XT valve implantation in such a patient. Available evidence is scarce, and consensus is impossible to achieve on the use of aortic bioprosthesis in this highly complex situation. In our opinion, one device does not appear advantageous over the other. Careful selection of the patient based on individual assessment, and meticulous aortic evaluation using multi-slice computed tomography, allow us to define who are appropriate candidates for TAVI. Balloon valvuloplasty angiography is a critical step of the procedure to avoid complications, and other preventive techniques would have to be considered by the heart team prior to the procedure.

4. CONCLUSION

This case highlights that TAVI can be safely performed in carefully selected patients with single coronary artery arising from the right sinus of valsalva. To anticipate the potential risk of coronary obstruction, accurate aortic imaging is paramount.

CONSENT

All authors declare that written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this case report and accompanying images.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

It is not applicable.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Yamanaka O, Hobbs RE. Coronary artery anomalies in 126,595 patients undergoing coronary arteriography. *Cath Cardiovasc Diagn.* 1990;21:28.
2. Desmet W, Vanhaecke J, Vrolix M, Van de Werf F, Piessens J, Willems J, et al. Isolated single coronary artery: A review of 50,000 consecutive coronary angiographies. *Eur Heart J.* 1992;13:1637-40.
3. Ribeiro HB, Nombela-Franco L, Urena M, Mok M, Pasian S, Doyle D, et al. Coronary obstruction following transcatheter aortic valve implantation: A systematic review. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2013;6:452-61.
4. Thebesius A. *Dissertatio medica de circulo sanguinis in cordo.* Ludg Batav, JA: Langerak; 1716.
5. Iñiguez Romo A, Macaya Miquel C, Alfonso Monterola F, San Román Calvar JA, Goikolea Ruiz-Gómez J, Zarco Gutiérrez P. Congenital anomalies of coronary artery origin: A diagnostic challenge. *Rev Esp Cardiol.* 1991;44:161-7.
6. Eveborn GW, Schirmer H, Heggelund G, Lunde P, Rasmussen K. The evolving epidemiology of valvular aortic stenosis. the tromsø study. *Heart.* 2013;99:396.
7. Sorbets E, Choby M, Tchetché D. Transcatheter aortic valve implantation with either corevalve or SAPIEN XT devices in patients with a single coronary artery. *J Invasive Cardiol.* 2012;24:342-4.
8. Giri J, Szeto WY, Bavaria J, Herrmann HC. Transcatheter aortic valve replacement with coronary artery protection performed in a patient with an anomalous left main coronary artery. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2012;7:60.
9. Dursun H, Gönençer JZ, Karabay O, Erdal AC, Kaya D. TAVI in a patient with single coronary artery: The choice of self-expandable valve may be reasonable. *Balkan Med J.* 2016;33(3):357-9.

© 2016 Gort et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://sciedomain.org/review-history/17000>