

Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports

Volume 18, Issue 12, Page 285-296, 2024; Article no.AJARR.127631 ISSN: 2582-3248

# Carbon Sequestration and Linear Models for Individual Tree Biomass Estimation in Bebi Mixed Forest Plantation, Cross River State, Nigeria

## Ajayi S<sup>a\*</sup>, Bassey S. E<sup>a</sup> and Obi A. A<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Forestry and Wildlife Management, University of Cross River State (UNICROSS), Okuku Campus, PMB102, Okuku, Cross River State, Nigeria.

#### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/ajarr/2024/v18i12825

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/127631

Original Research Article

Received: 01/10/2024 Accepted: 03/12/2024 Published: 05/12/2024

## ABSTRACT

The study developed allometric models for estimating aboveground biomass for *Tectona grandis* and *Gmelina arborea* in Bebi Mixed Plantation, Cross River State, Nigeria. Simple random sampling technique was used to lay a total of twenty sample plots; six sample plots for *Gmelina arborea* and fourteen sample plots for *Tectona grandis*. A total of 606 individual tree species were measured for total height and diameter at breast height (DBH). DBH, total height and specific wood density of each tree species were fitted into allometric equations to determine the green aboveground biomass of each tree species. *Gmelina arborea*, mean diameter at breast height, total height and aboveground biomass of 25.2 cm, 11.1m and 40.3kg respectively were obtained. Mean basal area of 18.6m<sup>2</sup>ha<sup>-1</sup> was obtained with a mean volume of 40.2629m<sup>3</sup>ha<sup>-1</sup>. The mean stand level green biomass was determined to be 96.65777 tons/ha<sup>-1</sup> while mean dry stand level dry biomass was

\*Corresponding author: Email: stanleyeval123456789@gmail.com;

*Cite as:* S, Ajayi, Bassey S. E, and Obi A. A. 2024. "Carbon Sequestration and Linear Models for Individual Tree Biomass Estimation in Bebi Mixed Forest Plantation, Cross River State, Nigeria". Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports 18 (12):285-96. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajarr/2024/v18i12825.

70.07686 tonha<sup>-1</sup> and stand level means of carbon stock and carbon-dioxide emission were 35.03843 tonha<sup>-1</sup> and 128.5911 tonha<sup>-1</sup> respectively. Meanwhile, for *Tectona grandis*, mean diameter at breast height, total height and aboveground biomass of 16.4 cm and 13.8 m and 35.8kg respectively were obtained. Mean basal area of 25.61m<sup>2</sup>ha<sup>-1</sup> was obtained with a mean volume of 64.1082m<sup>3</sup>ha<sup>-1</sup>. The mean stand level green biomass was determined to be 108.4855 ton/ha<sup>-1</sup> while mean dry stand level dry biomass was 78.6519 tonha<sup>-1</sup> and stand level means of carbon stock and carbon-dioxide emission were 39.3259 tonha<sup>-1</sup> and 144.3264 tonha<sup>-1</sup> respectively; each developed models were correspondingly validated Permanent sample plots should be established in the plantation to enhance monitoring and evaluation.

Keywords: Carbon sequestration; allometric models; tree biomass; Gmelina arborea and Tectona grandis.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Carbon management is a serious concern confronting the world today. Since the beginning of the industrial revolution, carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) concentration in the atmosphere has been rising alarmingly; ranging from 270ppm prior to the industrial revolution to about 394ppm in December, 2012 (Mauna Loa Observatory, 2013). Furthermore, human activities cause climate change and this often happens when we send gases in greater quantity than required into the atmosphere through activities like cutting down forest, bush burning, manufacturing, driving and use of some house hold equipment. These gases are many and together they are 'green-house gases. The most dangerous of them all is carbon; and when so much of it is released through these various human activities into the atmosphere, it interacts with the sun and increases the earth's temperature to create 'global warming'. Climate change worsens as the earth's temperature increases (UN-REDD, 2012).

Climate change is a condition where the weather has been altered or changed over a long period of time. When this has occurred, it becomes difficult to predict seasons and heat becomes too little or too high and can ultimately affect how crops produce. Forest and forest soils store a lot of carbon more than the amount of carbon found in the atmosphere. In their natural state, primary forest especially absorbs and store carbon from the atmosphere. This means that forest acts as 'carbon sink'. By taking carbon from the atmosphere, forests help to control weather conditions and prevent the earth from heating up. However, when people cut down forests, the carbon that is stored in the forest will be released into the atmosphere to combine with heat from the sun and warm up the earth thereby increasing the earth's atmosphere. As the

increase of carbon in the atmosphere increases the earth's atmosphere, it changes the normal weather conditions that human beings usually experience and begins to create unusual weather conditions that in longer term will alter the earth's climate. Apart from absorbing carbon, forest also maintain cloud cover, reflect sunlight back out of the atmosphere, encourage the transformation from water to vapour which cools the air and creates rainfall (UN-REDD, 2012).

Bassey and Ajayi (2021) defined biomass as the organic material both above and below the ground, and both living and dead, e.g., trees, grasses, tree liters, roots etc. Aboveground biomass, belowground biomass, dead wood, liter, and soil organic matter are the main carbon pools in any forest ecosystem (Bassey and Ajayi, 2021; IPCC, 2006). Above-ground biomass (AGB) includes all living biomass above the soil, while below-ground biomass (BGB) includes all biomass of live roots excluding fine roots (<2mm diameter). Forest biomass is measured either in terms of fresh weight or dry weight. For the purpose of carbon estimation, dry weight is preferred as dry biomass roughly contains 50% carbon (Brown, 1997; IPCC, 2003). Majority of biomass assessment are done for aboveground of trees because these generally account for the greatest fraction of total living biomass in a forest and do not pose too many logistical problems in the field measurement (Brown, 1997). Biomass is important assessment for national development planning as well as for scientific studies of ecosystem productivity, carbon budgets, etc. (Zheng et al., 2004; Zianis & Mencuccini 2004, Pandey et al., 2010). Biomass analysis is an important element in the carbon cycle especially, carbon sequestration. Recently, biomass is increasingly used to help quantify pools and fluxes of greenhouse gases (GHG)

from terrestrial biosphere associated with land use and land cover changes (Cairns *et al.*, 2003). The importance of terrestrial vegetation and soil as significant sinks of atmospheric  $CO_2$ and its other derivatives is highlighted under Kyoto Protocol (Wani *et al.*, 2010). Therefore, estimating aboveground biomass is a critical step to quantify and monitor changes in the tropical forests and plantations.

Cross River State is facing rapid tropical deforestation processes as its forest is cleared regularly and extensively for the establishment of food crops and cash crops such as cocoa, oil palm, banana and plantain and these processes are traditional method of burning most tree-cover, after which the remaining dead litters/biomass decay (Ajayi and Adie, 2018). During these processes, carbon dioxide is released into the atmosphere from both the biomass and soil, hence increasing the carbon flux.

To meet the challenge of providing high quality wood for the increasing population and rapid industrialization at sustained production level while preserving our natural heritage for future generation, exotic tree species were introduced to Nigeria as indeed other parts of the world. The Federal and State governments in Nigeria in conjunction with the World Bank have heavily invested in plantation establishment of those exotic species in most parts of the country (predominantly Teak and Gmelina) to provide raw materials in the form of poles, timber, veneer, wood particles, pit props, pulp and fuelwood (Ajayi and Adie, 2018). Vast plantations of these introduced species are almost everywhere including Cross River State. According to International Tropical Timber Organization - ITTO (2001), these plantations have answers for more than a few global problems. They reduce deforestation, restore degraded land, ameliorate climate change, improve local livelihood, return good profits, create employment and bolster national economies

The Bebi Mixed Planation is one the fast emerging private plantations in Cross River State, Nigeria. Considering the uncontrolled rate of deforestation particularly in the tropical rainforests, there is an urgent need for the private sector to synergistically work with government at all levels to control and establish private forests in the state to curb with the

alarming rate of deforestation recently recorded in the state natural forests. The Bebi plantation is relatively a large forest with great carbon sequestration potentials and economic opportunities for carbon trade and eco-tourism services. Also, there is a considerable interest today in estimating the biomass of forests for both practical forestry issues and scientific (Bassev purposes and Ajavi, 2021). Interestingly, the quantification of biomass or carbon pools of a forest suffers from a number of methodological problems. Accurate biomass estimation requires locally applicable tree biomass equations; and at the moment, there is no existing regression biomass model for the Bebi mixed plantation. However, a large number of biomass models exist, their applicability to any forest other than the forest with which the equation was developed is questionable. Furthermore, forest biomass assessment is important for national development planning as well as for scientific studies for ecosystem productivity, carbon budget. More so, before applying secondary equations, the equations need to be validated by felling a sufficiently large number of trees (>25) (Bassey and Ajayi, 2021). Therefore, instead of felling trees for verification, they can better be used for the development of equations specific to the location and its peculiarities.

## 2. METHODOLOGY

## 2.1 Study Area

The study was carried out in Bebi Mixed Plantation, Cross River State, Nigeria. The plantation was established in 1972 covering an area of fifty four (54) hectares, comprising of Pinus caribaea (planted in 1972), Tectona grandis (planted in 1973) and Gmelina arborea, (planted in 1978), and managed by the Cross River State Forestry Commission. The plantation falls along Latitude 6° 32'N 9° 16'E and  $6.5^{\circ}$ Longitude 33'N 9.267<sup>°</sup>E (http://www.bioone.org/doi/full/10.1896/1-881173-82-8.17). The climate of the area is comparatively cold, experiencing a semitemperate climate, with temperatures going between 26 °C to 32 °C during the dry season of November to January. The rainy season in June to September with temperature as low as between 4 °C to 10 °C (Obudu Cattle Ranch Info,2011). Due to the high level of human activities in the plantation, the population of pine stands is scanty, therefore, the research only concentrated on Teak and Gmelina.

## 2.2 Sampling Technique and Data Collection

Simple random sampling technique was used to lay a total of twenty sample plots; six sample plots for Gmelina arborea and fourteen sample plots for Tectona grandis. Diameter tape and Sunto clinometers were used for diameter and height measurements respectively. Diameter at breast height (10.5cm above ground) and tree total height were measured for all trees across plot and species. Density of each of the tree measured was determined from the default values of the Pan tropical table (Chudoff, 1984) and wood density for tropical tree species (Gisel et al, 1992). The obtained values were used to estimate the biomass of each tree within the sample plots in the plantation (Ajayi and Adie, 2018; Bassey and Ajavi, 2020).

#### 2.3 Data Analysis

#### 2.3.1 Basal area estimation

The diameter at breast height was used to calculate the basal area.

Basal Area
$$(BA) = \frac{\pi D^2}{4}$$
 eq. 1

where: D = diameter at breast height (cm)

 $\pi = 3.142$ BA = Basal Area.

#### 2.3.2 Volume estimation

Individual tree volume was estimated using the regression model below:

$$LnV = -8.2525 + 2.209LnD$$
 eq. 2

(Bassey and Ajayi, 2021)

Where;

V= Tree volume (m<sup>3</sup>) D= Diameter at breast height (cm) Ln = natural log

#### 2.3.3 Aboveground live green biomass estimation per hectare

To estimate the above-ground live biomass, the equation of Brown (1997) for tropical wet climate zone was adopted. The equation is given as:

$$Y = 21.297 - 6.952(D) + 0.740(D^2) \qquad eq3$$

## Where:

Y = biomass per tree in kg D = diameter at breast height (cm).

The summation of the biomass calculated for all trees in a sample produced the total plot biomass (AGBplot). This per plot estimate of aboveground (in kg) was divided by 1000 to express it in metric tons. This was then converted to per hectare estimate (AGBha) by using the equation:

$$AGBper ha = \left(\frac{Ah}{Ap}\right) \times AGBplot \qquad eq.4$$

where:

AGBha= aboveground biomass (metric tons per hectare)

Ah= area of one hectare in  $m^2$ Ap= area of the plot ( $m^2$ )

#### 2.3.4 Aboveground dry biomass estimation

Aboveground dry biomass estimation was calculated from:

$$W = \frac{AGBh \times 0.725}{1000} \qquad eq.5$$

Where,

W= aboveground dry biomass (ton/  $ha^{-1}$ ) AGB<sub>h</sub> = aboveground green biomass (ton/  $ha^{-1}$ )

## 2.3.5 Estimation of carbon-dioxide equivalent from carbon stock

The content of carbon in woody biomass of any forest is generally 50% of the tree total volume. Hence, to compute the weight of carbon stock in a tree was obtained by multiplying the dry weight of the tree by 50% (Eneji *et al.*, 2014). Therefore the equation for the measurement of carbon sequestered per hectare is given as:

$$Sc = W \times 0.5$$
 eq. 6

where;

Sc = sequestered carbon (tha<sup>-1</sup>) W= aboveground dry biomass (t ha<sup>-1</sup>)

## 2.3.6 Biomass model formulation for the two species

Log and non-log equations for the two tree species advanced for screening include:

- ix. LogY =  $\alpha$  +  $\beta$ logDBH<sup>2</sup>\*H\*WD

Where;  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$  and  $\beta_3$  are the regression coefficients

Y= Aboveground biomass (kg) DBH = diameter at breast height (cm) H = total height (m) WD = specific wood density.

#### 2.3.7 Criteria for model selection

Five indicators were considered for the assessment of goodness of fit of individual equations fitted:

- i. a goodness of fit with high coefficient of determination (R<sup>2</sup>)
- ii. significant variable ratio (F) at 5% probability level
- iii. Standard error of parameter estimate of the predictor variables
- iv. Least Root mean square error
- v. High Durbin-Watson value.

## 2.3.8 Biomass model validation

Paired T-test and test of bias were carried out on the errors associated with the final prediction to test for model validity.

Null (H0) = paired observations are not different

Alternative (H1) = paired observations are different

$$t = \frac{\overline{D}}{SD/\sqrt{n}}$$
 eq. 7

Where;

T= t-statistics

 $\overline{D}$  = mean of the difference between pairs (ton)

SD= standard deviation of the difference between pairs (ton)

n= number of paired observation (degree of freedom is n-1)

$$Bias = \frac{\sum residual}{\sum Actual observation} \times \frac{100}{1} \qquad \text{eq. 8}$$

#### 3. RESULTS

#### 3.1 Parameters of Aboveground Biomass in Bebi Mixed Plantation

The result presented in Table 1 indicates that a total of 606 individual tree species were measured for both diameter at breast height (DBH) and tree total height in the mixed plantation. An average dbh of 16.4cm and 25.2cm were respectively measured for Tectona grandis and Gmelina arborea. Average total heights of 13.8cm and 11.1 were obtained respectively for Tectona grandis and Gmelina arborea. however, average aboveground biomass of 40.3kg and 35.8kg was obtained for arborea and Tectona Gmelina grandis respectively. While mean basal area for Tectona grandis was 25.61m<sup>2</sup>ha<sup>-1</sup> and 18.56m<sup>2</sup>ha<sup>-1</sup> for Gmelina arborea. The mean volume for Tectona grandis was 64.1082 m<sup>3</sup>ha<sup>-1</sup>; while mean volume for Gmelina arborea was 40.2629 m3ha-1 in the Bebi Mixed Plantation.

S/N	Parameters	Tectona grandis	Gmelina arborea
1	No. of sample plots measured	14	6
2	No of trees measured	442	164
3	Average DBH (cm)	16.4	25.2
4	Average height (m)	13.8	11.1
5	Mean biomass (kg)	35.8	40.3
6	Mean basal area (m²ha-1)	25.61	18.56
7	Mean volume (M <sup>3</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	64.1082	40.2629
8	Sampling intensity 0.3%		

Table 1. Parameters of Tree Volume and Aboveground Biomass in Bebi Mixed Plantation

S/N	Number of stem per hectare (N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Basal Area (M²ha⁻¹)	Volume (M³ha⁻¹)	Green Biomass (ton ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Dry Biomass (ton ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Carbon Stock (ton ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Carbondioxide emission (ton ha <sup>-1</sup> )
1	407.24	17.2458	40.6267	85.8527	62.2432	31.1216	114.2163
2	452.489	20.8659	52.6059	102.113	74.03164	37.01582	135.8481
3	271.493	15.2475	30.7022	96.2393	69.77351	34.88676	128.0344
4	294.118	15.9584	30.823	91.713	66.49193	33.24597	122.0127
5	475.113	18.7464	45.5234	98.3376	71.29477	35.64739	130.8259
6	429.864	17.3258	41.2963	105.691	76.62612	38.31306	140.6089
Total	2330.31	105.389	241.577	579.9466	420.4612	210.2306	771.5463
Average	388.386	17.5649	40.2629	96.65777	70.07686	35.03843	128.5911

#### Table 2. Biomass and Carbon Stock for Gmelina arborea in Bebi Mixed Plantation

Table 3. Fitted Models for Biomass Estimation of Gmelina arborea in Bebi Mixed Plantation

S/N	Models	R <sup>2</sup>	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	F-ratio	Durbin Watsin	SEE	Remark
1	$Y = 0.002 + 0.383 DBH^2$	0.99	17878.037	0.99	1.297	0.001378	Selected
2	Y = 0.008+ 0.369DBH <sup>2</sup> + 0.001H	0.99	14015.132	0.99	1.270	0.001102	Suitable
3	LogY = 0.394 +2.096log DBH <sup>2</sup>	0.76	532.422	0.76	1.935	0.18550	Suitable
4	LogY = 1.761 + 1.272log DBH <sup>2</sup> - 1.7681 DBH <sup>2</sup>	0.92	957.815	0.92	1.134	0.10727	Suitable
5	LogY = 0.240 +0.106 logDBH <sup>2</sup> *H	0.69	375.730	0.69	1.258	0.21080	Suitable
6	LogY= -1.216 + 0.109log DBH <sup>2</sup> +0.101H	0.85	457.356	0.84	1.406	0.14904	Suitable
7	Y= 0.005 + 0.004log DBH <sup>2</sup> *H	0.88	622.902	0.88	1.729	0.004938	Suitable
8	LogY = -2.290 + 18.028DBH <sup>2</sup> *WD	0.66	323.774	0.66	1.779	0.22179	Suitable
9	LogY = -2.249 +1.391log DBH <sup>2</sup> *H * WD	0.64	291.575	0.64	1.800	0.22952	Suitable

T-calculated = 0.0920, T-tabulated = 0.690, Bias = 2.92367%

S/N	Number of stem per hectare (N ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Basal Area (M²ha⁻¹)	Volume (M³ha⁻¹)	Green Biomass (ton ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Dry Biomass (ton ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Carbon Stock (ton ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Carbondioxide emission (ton ha <sup>-1</sup> )
1	407	17.2458	40.6267	76.8635	55.72604	27.86302	102.2573
2	452	20.8659	52.6059	88.6251	64.2532	32.1266	117.9046
3	271	15.2475	30.7022	55.4716	40.21691	20.10846	73.79803
4	294	15.9584	30.8230	58.7241	42.57497	21.28749	78.12507
5	475	18.7464	45.5234	89.7363	65.05882	32.52941	119.3829
6	430	17.3258	41.2963	80.7621	58.55252	29.27626	107.4439
7	1109	33.6186	82.5416	167.964	121.7739	60.8869	223.4551
8	860	23.7201	65.9564	122.4352	88.76552	44.38276	162.8847
9	1200	35.7320	125.8612	172.9464	125.3861	62.69307	230.0836
10	747	32.3258	64.9048	109.6351	79.48545	39.74272	145.8558
11	1131	45.5301	102.8329	160.284	116.2059	58.10295	213.2378
12	837	26.4188	55.9751	91.0258	65.99371	32.99685	121.0984
13	859	27.2718	68.1951	115.8016	83.95616	41.97808	154.0596
14	928	28.5427	89.6702	128.5221	93.17852	46.58926	170.9826
Total	9163	358.5497	897.5148	1518.797	1101.128	550.5639	2020.569
Average	654	25.6106	64.1082	108.4855	78.6519	39.3259	144.3264

## Table 4. Biomass and Carbon Stock for Tectona grandis in Bebi Mixed Plantation

Table 5. Fitted Models for Biomass Estimation of Tectona grandis in Bebi Mixed Plantation
---

S/N	Models	R <sup>2</sup>	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	F-ratio	Durbin Watsin	SEE	Remark
1	Y = -0.001 + 0.457DBH <sup>2</sup>	1.000	1.000	27840.01	1.363	0.00126	Unsuitable
2	Y = -0.008 + 0.457DBH <sup>2</sup> + 0.001H	1.000	1.000	24277.60	1.601	0.00096	Unsuitable
3	LogY = -0.258 + 1.093 logDBH <sup>2</sup>	0.98	0.98	21515.867	0.938	0.05036	Selected
4	$LogY = -0.168 + 1.147 logDBH^2 - 0.001DBH^2$	0.98	0.98	12926.178	0.957	0.04602	Suitable
5	LogY = -0.827 + 0.74 logDBH <sup>2</sup> *H	0.44	0.44	355.067	0.911	0.26463	Unsuitable
6	LogY= -0.876 + 0.996 logDBH <sup>2</sup> + 0.045H	1.000	1.000	975502.976	1.153	0.00534	Unsuitable
7	Y= 38.878 + 2.294 logDBH <sup>2</sup> *H	0.53	0.52	496.696	1.743	6.89665	Suitable
8	LogY = 0.000+ 1.093log DBH <sup>2</sup> *WD	0.98	0.98	21515.867	0.938	0.05036	Suitable
9	LogY = -0.827 + 0.074log DBH <sup>2</sup> *H * WD	0.44	355.037	0.44	0.911	0.26463	Unsuitable

T-calculated = 0.0871, T-tabulated = 1. 166, Bias = 1.65306%

### 3.2 Biomass and Carbon Stock for *Gmelina arborea* in Bebi Mixed Plantation

Results in Table 2 show volume, aboveground green and dry biomasses, and carbon stock and carbon emission per stand for Gmelina arborea in Bebi Mixed Plantation of Cross River State, Stand aboveground green biomass Nigeria. ranged from 85.8527t ha<sup>-1</sup> to 105.691 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, dry biomass ranged from 62.2432t ha-1 to 76.62612 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, carbon stock range from 31.1216 t ha<sup>-1</sup> to 38.31306t ha-1 and carbon-dioxide emission ranged from 114.2163 t ha<sup>-1</sup> to 140.6089 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. Meanwhile, stand basal area ranged from 15.2475m<sup>2</sup>ha<sup>-1</sup> to 18.7464m<sup>2</sup>ha<sup>-1</sup> while volume ranged from 30.7022 m<sup>3</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup> to 52.6059m<sup>3</sup>ha<sup>-1</sup>. Also, number of stem per hectare ranged from 271.493 Nha-1 to 475.113 Nha-1.

## 3.3 Fitted Models for Biomass Estimation of *Gmelina arborea* in Bebi Mixed Plantation

The results in Table 3 show a linear regression analysis used in developing allometric models for estimating *Gmelina arborea* biomass in the study area using basal area, specific wood density, tree total height and their logarithmic transformations as predictor variables. Model 1 was judged best and selected for biomass estimation because it has the highest F-ratio value (17878.037),) highest Durbin Watson value (1.297) with R<sup>2</sup> value of 99%, R<sup>2</sup><sub>a</sub> value of value of 99% and a very low standard error of estimate (0.001378). Ranked very closely was model 2 with R<sup>2</sup> value of 99% and F-ratio value of 14015.132.

However, 30% *Gmelina arborea* field data set aside for its model validation. Paired T-test was used to validate the model by comparing the actual green biomass and predicted biomass. The equation selected recorded non-significant difference (P>0.05) with the actual biomass computed from the field. Again, with a low estimated bias of 2.9236%, the selected model can be used to predict *Gmelina arborea* biomass in the mixed plantation.

## 3.4 Fitted Models for Biomass Estimation of *Tectona grandis* in Bebi Mixed Plantation

Result in Table 4 shows volume, aboveground green and dry biomasses, and carbon stock and carbon emission per stand for *Tectona grandis* in

Bebi Mixed Plantation of Cross River State. Stand aboveground green biomass Nigeria. ranged from 55.4716t ha<sup>-1</sup> to 172.9464 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, dry biomass ranged from 40.21691t ha-1 to 125.3861t ha-1, carbon stock range from 20.10846t ha-1 to 62.69307t ha-1 and carbondioxide emission ranged from 62.69307t ha-1 to 230.0836 t ha-1. Meanwhile, stand basal area ranged from 15.2475m<sup>2</sup>ha<sup>-1</sup> to 45.5301m<sup>2</sup>ha<sup>-1</sup> while volume ranged from 30.7022 m<sup>3</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup> to102.8329 m<sup>3</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup> and number of stem per hectare ranged from 271.4932Nha<sup>-1</sup> to 1199.0950Nha<sup>-1</sup> with a mean number of stem of 714.2856 Nha-1.

## 3.5 Fitted Models for Biomass Estimation of *Tectona grandis* in Bebi Mixed Plantation

The result in Table 5 shows a linear regression analysis used in developing allometric models for estimating *Tectona grandis* biomass in the study area using basal area, specific wood density, tree total height and their logarithmic transformations as predictor variables. Model 3 was judged best and selected for biomass estimation because it has the highest F-ratio value (21515.867), highest Durbin Watson value (0.938) with R<sup>2</sup> value of 98% R<sup>2</sup><sub>a</sub> value of value of 98% and a very low standard error of estimate (0.05036). Ranked very closely was model 4 with R<sup>2</sup> value of 98% and F-ratio value of 12926.178.

Similarly, 30% *Tectona grandis* field data was set aside for its model validation. Paired T-test was used to validate the model by comparing the actual green biomass and predicted biomass. The equation selected recorded non-significant difference (P>0.05) with the actual biomass computed from the field. Again, with a low estimated bias of 1.65306%, the selected model can be used to predict *Tectona grandis* biomass in the mixed plantation.

## 4. DISCUSSION

The observed stocking density of 388.386N ha<sup>-1</sup> and 927.6018N ha<sup>-1</sup> for *Gmelina arborea* and *Tectona grandis* respectively in the Bebi mixed Plantation is very low considering the age range of 40-50years. This is in contrast with the findings (1068) by Ajayi and Adie (2018) for a Teak Mono-Plantation, Cross River State. The low stocking density can be attributed to the fact that both stands perhaps were not properly stocked during the plantation establishment and/or due to poor plantation management. Secondly, it reflects very high level of encroachment level into the plantation which calls for urgent management attention. The biomass estimates recorded for both species stands is also very low. The low biomass yield of 96.65777 t ha-1 and 108.4855 t ha-1 for Gmelina arborea and Tectona grandis respectively could be due to low nutrient of the site. This finding also agrees with that of Nwoboshi (2000), who noted that soil types to a great extent determines the growth of trees and detect the type of management required for optimum performance. This result further agrees with the findings of Kannan and Pailiwall (1997) who reported that soil nutrients increased tree growth and performance of Senna siamea.

Average dbh of 11.1cm and 18.8cm for *Gmelina* arborea and Tectona grandis respectively in this plantation reflected a degree of poor plantation management and to a great extent the inability of the soil to support the tree species since this result is completely at variance with reports of other authors Kannan and Pailiwall (1997). There is urgent need to enrich the stands for optimal utilization of the land resources. This agrees with the report made by (Mishra et. al., 2004) that the potential of degraded rainforests including plantation forests to recover from degradation can therefore be enhanced through enrichment planting. However, the stand volume of 40.2629 m<sup>3</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup> and 64.1082m<sup>3</sup>ha<sup>-1</sup> for Gmelina arborea and Tectona grandis respectively are far to way too that recommended by Dianyuan Han (2012) as normal for tropical high forest (250m<sup>3</sup>ha<sup>-1</sup>). This shortfall could be as a result of poor stocking, encroachment and/or poor management practices. Therefore, effort should be made to improve the current density and productivity through good management approach such as enrichment planting. This will guarantee steady forest cover for wood production for construction and fuel wood supply, environmental protection and carbon trade.

The selected aboveground biomass models for both species recorded a non-significant difference (P>0.05) with the actual biomass computed from the field, hence, the models are adequate for estimating aboveground biomass in the study area as stated by (Ajayi and Adie, (2018) and Bassey and Ajayi, (2021). The results showed that model with diameter at breast height as the predictive variable gave the best model. This confirms the claim by many

authors that considering the sources of error in height measurement, it is necessary to develop volume estimation models using a variable such as DBH which can be accurately measured in the field. Ketterings et al., (2001) also supported the claim that height measurement can be tedious and might not explain more of the variance. By implication therefore, diameter at breast height and its logarithmic form can be judged to be a good predictor variable for aboveground biomass estimation of both species. The percentage biases for the selected models (2.92367% and 1.5630% for Gmelina arborea and Tectona grandis respectively) agree with the findings of Adekunle (2002) who reported that the percentage bias as low as 30% is an indication of good fit model.

## **5. CONCLUSION**

The study has provided estimates of aboveground biomass for two different tree species. This is useful for wood productivity studies, forest conservation and carbon trade. Estimation forest carbon stocks will enable of the assessment of the amount of carbon loss during deforestation or the amount of carbon that the forest can store when such forests are regenerated. The effectiveness of reducing emissions depends greatly on the formulation of accurate and location specific models for proper management of a particular forest ecosystem. Also, remarkable development of models remains a valuable tool on policy, monitoring and supply systems as interventions in combating the challenges of climate issues in the study area. Therefore, models developed in the study area are fit for the estimation of aboveground biomass, monitoring and trade.

## 6. RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings of this study, the following recommendations were made:

- i. Permanent sample plots should be established in the plantation to enhance effective and efficient monitoring and assessment of the plantations.
- ii. The models developed for this study should be used for carbon monitoring and carbon trade in the Bebi Mixed plantation.

## DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative Al technologies such as Large Language Models

(ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

### REFERENCES

- Adekunle, V. A. J. (2002). Inventory techniques and models for yields tree species diversity assessment in Ala and Omo Forest Reserves (Ph.D. thesis). Federal University of Technology Akure, Southwest Nigeria.
- Ajayi, S., & Adie, D. A. (2018). Above ground carbon sequestration in tropical high forests and monoplantations of Okpon River Forest Reserve, Cross River State, Nigeria. 6th Biennial National Conference of the Forests and Products Society, 24-25.
- Bassey, S. E., & Ajayi, S. (2021). Modeling of aboveground tree stand-level biomass in Erukot Forest of Oban Division, Cross River National Park, Nigeria. *Journal of Agriculture, Forestry and Social Sciences* (*JOAFSS*), 8(1), 8–16. https://www.ajol.info/index.php/joafss
- Brown, S. (1997). *Estimating biomass and biomass change of tropical forests*. Forest Resources Assessment Publication. Forestry Papers 134. FAO.
- Cairns, M. A., Olmsted, L., Gradanos, J., & Argaeg, J. (2003). Composition and aboveground tree biomass of dry semievergreen forest on Mexico's Yucatan Peninsula. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 186, 125-132.
- Chavan, B. L., & Rasal, G. B. (2010). Total sequestered carbon stock of *Mangifera indica. Journal of Environment and Earth Science*, 2(1). Retrieved from http://www.iiste.org
- Chudnoff, M. (1984). *Tropical timbers of the world*. Agriculture Handbook 607. U.S. Department of Agriculture.
- Eneji, I. S., Obinna, O., & Azuat, (2014). Sequestration and carbon storage potential of tropical forest reserve and tree species located within Benue State of Nigeria. *Journal of Geoscience and Environmental Protection*, 2, 157-166.
- Han, D. (2012). Standing tree volume measurement technology based on digital image processing. In *International*

Conference on Automatic Control and Artificial Intelligence (ACAI, 2012), 1922-1923.

- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). (2003). *Good practice guidance for land use, land-use change and forestry*. Edited by Penman, J., Gytarsky, M., Hiraishi, T., Krug, T., Kruger, D., Pipatti, R., Buendia, L., Miwa, K., Ngara, T., Tanabe, K., & Wagner, F.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). (2006). 2006 IPCC guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories. Prepared by the National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme (Eds. Eggleston, H. S., Buendia, L., Miwa, K., Ngara, T., & Tanabe, K.). Institute for Global Environmental Strategies, Japan.
- International Tropical Timber Organization (ITTO). (2001). Plantations on the march. *Tropical Forestry Update*, 11(3), 1-32.
- International Tropical Timber Organization (ITTO). (n.d.). Retrieved from http://www.itto.or.jp
- Ketterings, Q. M., Coe, R., van Noordwijk, M., Ambagu, Y., & Palm, C. A. (2001). Reducing uncertainty in use of allometric biomass equations for predicting aboveground tree biomass in mixed secondary forests. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 146, 199–202.
- Mauna Loa Observatory. (2013). Trends in atmospheric carbon dioxide. Retrieved from

http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/trends

- Mishra, B., Tripathi, O. P., Tripathi, R. S., & Pandey, H. N. (2004). Effects of anthropogenic disturbance on plant diversity and community structure of a sacred grove in Meghalaya, northeast India. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 13, 421-436.
- Nwoboshi, L. C. (2000). *The nutrient factor in sustainable forestry*. Ibadan University Press.
- Obudu Cattle Ranch. (2011, April 9). Info. Sights at Obudu. Retrieved from http://www.obuducattleranch.com
- Pandey, U., Kushwaha, S. P. S., Kachhwaha, T. S., Kunwar, P., & Dadhwal, V. K. (2010). Potential of Envisat ASAR data for woody biomass assessment. *Tropical Ecology*, 51, 117-124.
- UN-REDD Programme. (2012). Retrieved from http://www.un-redd.net
- Wani, N., Velmurugan, A., & Dadhwal, V. K. (2010). Assessment of agricultural crop

Ajayi et al.; Asian J. Adv. Res. Rep., vol. 18, no. 12, pp. 285-296, 2024; Article no.AJARR.127631

and soil carbon pools in Madhya Pradesh, India. *Tropical Ecology*, 51, 11-19.

Zheng, D. J., Rademacher, J., Crow, T. M., Bresee, M., Le Moine, J., & Ryu, S. (2004). Estimating above ground biomass using Landsat 7 ETM+ data across a managed landscape in northern Wisconsin, USA. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 93, 402-411.

Zianis, D., & Mencucuni, M. (2004). On simplifying allometric analyses of forest biomass. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 187, 311-332.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/127631