



Bioremediation of Wastewater Enriched with Cd, Cr and Pb Using Floating Macrophytes

**L. A. Hernández-Vasquez^{a,b*}, F. Prieto-García^b,
M. J. Prieto^b, A. Alvarado-Lassman^c, E. Aquino-Torres^b,
E. D. Ramírez-Rivera^b, J. A. Barrón-Zambrano^d
and M. C. Lope-Navarrete^d**

^a Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Hidalgo, Pachuca - Actopan Km. 4.5, Campo de Tiro, 42039 Pachuca de Soto, Hidalgo, México.

^b Tecnológico Nacional de México, Campus Zongolica, Km 4 Carretera a la Compañía S/N, Tepetitlanapa, 95005 Zongolica, Veracruz, México.

^c Division de Estudios de Posgrado e Investigación, Instituto Tecnológico de Orizaba. Av. Tecnológico No. 852, Col. E. Zapata, 94320 Orizaba, Veracruz, México.

^d Facultad de Ingeniería Química, Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán, Periférico Norte km 33.5, Tablaje Catastral 13615, Col. Chuburná de Hidalgo, 97203 Mérida, Yucatán, México.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JEAI/2023/v45i82161

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/101642>

Original Research Article

Received: 12/04/2023

Accepted: 16/06/2023

Published: 29/06/2023

ABSTRACT

This study aimed to explore the effectiveness potential of *Eichhornia crassipes*, *Pistia stratiotes* and *Salvinia molesta* for the reduction of potentially toxic metals from effluents enriched with Cd, Cr and Pb. Based on a 21-day laboratory-scale experiment, a total of three concentrations at 1, 5 and 10

*Corresponding author: E-mail: alfredohv_basicas@zongolica.tecnm.mx;

mg/L were selected to culture each of the macrophytes. In addition, kinetics and prediction models were used to analyze the metal reduction data to understand the behavior and removal rate of each species and with the Taguchi methodology to see what the right conditions for greater efficiency are. The results suggested that the three macrophytes was able to reduce metals *Eichhornia crassipes* manages to reduce 90.8-99.99% Cd, 90.8-99.9% Cr and 89.6-99.9% Pb, for *Pistia stratiotes* 74.6-99.9% Cd, 74.6-99.9% Cr and 91.2-99.9% Pb and *Salvinia molesta* 83.2-99.9% Cd, 62.2-99.9% Cr and 89.2-99% Pb. The first-order model it is adjusted appropriately to the removal of toxic metals for the three plants, having an adjustment of R^2 greater than 0.80. Taguchi analysis shows that optimal conditions for metal phytoremediation occur with 1 mg/L of toxic metals and after 21 days.

Keywords: Macrophytes; wastewater; potentially toxic metals; first-order model.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the problems affecting developing countries is that more than 90% of domestic wastewater discharges directly into rivers and lakes [1,41,45,39], causing serious ecological problems, such as deterioration of water quality and loss of biodiversity [2,50,56]. The highest concentrations of toxic metals in river and lake water are found in Africa, Asia, and South America. Previous research shows that the toxic metals most prevalent in surface waters are Cd, Cr, and Pb [3-8,38,52].

Methods commonly used to treat water contaminated with toxic metals are often inefficient and expensive. There is little research focused on bioremediation for reducing the burden of organic and inorganic pollution [5,42-44,58]. Therefore, it is necessary to develop alternative and sustainable methods for effluent treatment. Artificial Floating Islands emerge as a method of bioremediation, it is a low-cost, sustainable, and efficient technology for the treatment of contaminated water [9,10,49,53,54,60]. They have stood out for their easy operation, economic profitability, and improvement of regional landscapes [11-13]. The use of floating macrophytes in IPA has been shown to be effective in absorbing toxic metals [14-19]. Trends in the use of Artificial Floating Islands have not adequately exploited the use of efficient species in the accumulation of toxic metals [20,6,51].

Eichhornia crassipes, *Pistia stratiotes* L and *Salvinia molesta* are hyperaccumulating plant species that have a distinct ability to absorb various heavy metals from contaminated sites [21,37,46,48,55-57,61]. They grow in bodies of water such as lakes, rivers, dominated by a high content of nitrogen, phosphorus, minerals, and metals. Prediction and kinetic modeling studies

are widely used to improve process performance [47]. They are useful for understanding the steps of controlling the removal rate. In this way, the contaminant absorption behavior can be easily understood, and the wastewater treatment process can be designed to maximize performance [22,23].

In addition to this, prediction models are also useful for the quantification of influencing, i.e., intrinsic, and extrinsic factors, and for further improving the treatment process of polluted water [22,14,47]. It is for all the above that the objective is to quantify the potential of *Eichhornia crassipes*, *Pistia stratiotes* L and *Salvinia molesta* for bioremediation of Cadmium, Chromium and Lead in different concentrations using waters enriched with these metals.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Plant Selection and Adaptation

Eichhornia crassipes, *Pistia stratiotes* L, and *Salvinia molesta* were collected from a nearby freshwater body, located in Ixtaczoquitlán, Veracruz, Mexico (N18° 51' 2.524", W96° 59' 48.1"). Young and healthy plants were selected for the experiment and rinsed with tap water to remove particles, transported, and placed in culture cells to adapt in the new environment for seven days. The systems were arranged in a sheltered area, allowing adequate exposure to air and sunlight.

2.2 Experimental Setup

The experiments were carried out under a natural day-night regime, that is, 12 h of light and 12 h of darkness. The experiments were performed in batch mode, using glass containers of 25 L capacity. Synthetic waters with different

concentrations were formulated, having nine treatments varying the concentrations is 1, 5 and 10 mg/L for each metal (Table 1), the concentrations were established based on the most critical concentrations of toxic metals in wastewater [24,10,25,40,59].

The matrix of the experimental design (Table 1) corresponds to an orthogonal arrangement design of type $L_9(3)^4$, being four variables or factors at three levels, following the methodology of Taguchi [26,27].

The experiments were carried out in periods of 21 days, glass cells with a useful volume of 20 liters were used. The control parameters were pH, electrical conductivity, total dissolved solids (Hanna HI 98130, IN), which were monitored on days 7, 15 and 21 [28,62,63]. For the collection, preservation and analysis of the samples, the procedures established in the Standard Methods [29] and AOAC [64] were followed.

2.3 Determination of Toxic Metals

Water samples were taken and digested with nitric acid and measured by microwave-induced plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (MP-AES, 4200MP-AES, Agilent Technologies, New Castle, Delaware, USA) connected to a nitrogen generator (Peak Genius 3055, Agilent Technologies, New Castle, Delaware, USA).

The operating conditions (nebulizer flow and wavelength per element) of the MP-AES equipment were previously established by Herman-Lara et al. [30]. All multielement

solutions were diluted in a concentration range of 0.1-5 mg/L. Calibration curves (correlation coefficient $R^2 = 0.99$ per element) using standard multielement solutions (Agilent Technologies, Delaware, USA) were performed for Cd, Cr and Pb. Determinations were performed three times to obtain reproducible and reliable results [30].

2.4 Removal Kinetics

For the removal efficiency of each of the toxic metals contained in synthetic water, starting from the expression in equation 1 (Eq 1):

$$\% E = \left(1 - \frac{C_t}{C_0}\right) \times 100 \quad (1)$$

Where C_0 is the initial concentration of Cd, Cr and Pb, C_t is the final concentration of the same metals in water at the respective times t .

The best-fit (first order) fit order model was used to express the kinetic absorption rate of the heavy metal transfer process [47]. To do this, a graph of the logarithm of the concentration of metals in the effluent medium versus the retention time was drawn and the reaction rate constant (Eq. 2) was determined.

$$\text{Log } [C] = \text{Log } [C]_0 - kt \quad (2)$$

where is the logarithm of the initial concentration, is the final $\text{Log } [C]_0$ logarithm of the $\text{Log } [C]$ concentration (mg/L) of toxic metals, k is the rate constant, and t is the sampling time (days).

Table 1. Formulation of treatments with toxic metals

Levels	Control factors				Noise factors		
	Cd ²⁺ mg/L	Cr ³⁺ mg/L	Pb ²⁺ mg/L	HRT, days	<i>Pistia stratiotes L</i>	<i>Salvinia molesta</i>	<i>Eichornia crassipes</i>
1	1	1	1	7	1	2	3
2	5	5	5	15	4	5	6
3	10	10	10	21	7	8	9
4	1	5	5	21	10	11	12
5	5	10	10	7	13	14	15
6	10	1	1	15	16	17	18
7	1	10	10	15	19	20	21
8	5	1	1	21	22	23	24
9	10	5	5	7	25	26	27

Table 2. Control parameters

Parameters	Treatment									Witness
	N1	N2	N3	N4	N5	N6	N7	N8	N9	
pH										
I ($\mu \pm \sigma$)	7.2 (0.4)	7.3 (0.5)	7.3 (0.6)	6.7 (0.1)	6.8 (0.3)	6.6 (0.4)	6.3 (0.2)	6.4 (0.3)	6.3 (0.2)	7.3 (0.1)
E ($\mu \pm \sigma$)	8.2 (0.1)	8.2 (0.5)	8.2 (0.2)	8.2 (0.3)	8.4 (0.3)	8.2 (0.3)	8.3 (0.1)	8.2 (0.2)	8.3 (0.2)	7.5 (0.1)
EC (ms)										
I ($\mu \pm \sigma$)	1280 (2.8)	1281 (1.5)	1280 (2.5)	1790 (5.6)	1795 (2.8)	1798 (3.0)	2475 (2.8)	2475 (2.5)	2475 (2.7)	330 (1.7)
E ($\mu \pm \sigma$)	2550 (7.0)	2560 (5.1)	2555 (4.6)	3649.5 (1.5)	3650 (2.0)	3652 (1.8)	4800 (2.0)	4805 (5.3)	4803 (3.2)	393.5 (2.1)
TDS (ppm)										
I ($\mu \pm \sigma$)	641 (1.0)	641 (2.0)	640 (2.0)	895 (3.1)	893 (4.5)	892 (1.0)	1185 (3.5)	1180 (1.3)	1184 (4.0)	165 (1.8)
E ($\mu \pm \sigma$)	1393 (3.0)	1390 (2.0)	1392 (1.8)	1950 (5.0)	1950 (2.5)	1952 (3.0)	2250 (5.5)	2253 (2.5)	2250 (2.3)	197 (2.0)
COD (mg/L)										
I ($\mu \pm \sigma$)	555 (2.2)	555 (3.0)	555 (2.4)	830 (1.6)	830 (1.3)	830 (2.4)	1250 (1)	1250 (1.1)	1250 (1.6)	2 (1.5)
E ($\mu \pm \sigma$)	96 (3.11)	139 (4.1)	228 (12.9)	113 (9.6)	151 (4.5)	262 (6.0)	184 (6.7)	118 (5.5)	289 (13)	-
Phosphates (mg/L)										
I ($\mu \pm \sigma$)	150 (1.5)	150 (2.0)	150 (0.5)	200 (0.8)	200 (1.0)	200 (1.5)	300 (0.5)	300 (1.6)	300 (2.1)	8.6 (0.6)
E ($\mu \pm \sigma$)	131.25 (3.6)	54.75 (5.8)	54.45 (4.8)	43.4 (1.8)	69.8 (4.9)	73.4 (2.4)	50.1 (1.2)	75 (3.5)	113.1 (1.5)	2.15 (0.8)
Nitrates (mg/L)										
I ($\mu \pm \sigma$)	100 (0.5)	100 (0.8)	100 (0.6)	300 (1.5)	300 (0.1)	300 (1.0)	400 (0.5)	400 (1.5)	400 (0.6)	5.2 (0.2)
E ($\mu \pm \sigma$)	0.5 (0.6)	5.0 (1.5)	7.8 (6.4)	1.3 (0.5)	5.7 (6.8)	7.5 (5.0)	1.4 (1.5)	5.8 (2.5)	7.9 (3.6)	0.1 (0.1)

*I: Influent , E: Effluent

2.5 Statistical Analysis

The analysis was performed using statistical software, to know the significance of the results of each operational parameter, a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a significance level of 95% ($p < 0.05$) was performed. To determine the contribution of the plants, a posteriori Dunnet test comparing treatments and control was carried out and complemented with the Tukey test to compare the means of all treatments.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Control Parameters

Through phytoremediation, it is possible to bioremediate contaminated water, this through complex physical, chemical, and biological processes, which can be affected by the effects of nutrients, or the types of metals contained in the water, and in the same way by surrounding factors., such as pH, Electrical Conductivity (EC), Total Dissolved Solids (STD), and Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) [31]. For the present study, Table 2 shows the physicochemical characterization of synthetic wastewater before treatment (influent) and after treatment (effluent).

3.2 pH Monitoring

For the treatments with 1 mg/L the initial pH is 7.3, with 5 mg/L it is 6.75 and with 10 mg/L the pH is 6.39 for the control treatment the pH is 7.3 (Table 2). Emphasizing that the increase in the concentration of metals affects the pH of the synthetic waters. The pH variations are shown in Fig. 1. In the first seven days of all treatments, there is a significant increase in pH. For treatments N7-N9 the pH increases by 37%, for treatments N4-N6 the increase is 31% and for

treatments N1-N3 is 3%, for the control treatment the change is 0.7%.

During the Phytoremediation process, a phenomenon occurs, where in the first seven days the pH increases, and after day eight the pH tends to decrease considerably until it stabilizes at values that fluctuate between 8.0 and 8.2. This phenomenon is mentioned in some other investigations mentioning that it is due to the consumption of CO_2 results of the photosynthesis carried out by each macrophyte [32,33]. Wang et al. [6] studied the effect of the removal of aquatic plants on Cu, Zn, and Cd showing similar results where the pH values ranged between 7.9 and 9.4.

3.3 Removal of Toxic Metals

All treatments showed a marked decrease in toxic metals, shown in Fig. 2. For this, the maximum removal efficiency is achieved with *Eichhornia crassipes* with a concentration of 1 mg/L manages to remove 99.9% of Cr, Pb: 99.9%; Cd: 99.9%. The experiments where there is a concentration of 5 mg/L the following Cr removals are reached: 90.88%; Pb: 97.30%; Cd: 95.38% and for experiments with 10 mg/L the removal is Cr: 95.98%; P.S.: 89.65%; Cd: 90.88%. These results are consistent with Mojiri et al. [34] study the removal of Cr and Cd using *Eichhornia crassipes*, with a water with a content of 50 mg/L of Cd and Cr finding that after 72 hrs. manage to remove 92%, for this study was included a support four layers of substrate of adsorbents which helped in the absorption of toxic metals. [65] studied the phytoremediation of *Eichhornia crassipes*, in wastewater with a content of 0.92 mg/L of Cd removing 45% and 1.16 mg/L of Pb removing 25%, in a hydraulic retention time of 41 days.




Fig. 1. pH of the experiments




Fig. 2. Removal of Cr(a), Cd(b) and Pb(c) in the different treatments

For experiments performed with *Pistia stratiotes* with a concentration of 1 mg/L the removal is Cr: 99.9, Pb: 99.9; Cd: 99.9, with 5 mg/L Cr: 86.46; P.S.: 94.36; Cd: 93.0 and 10 mg/L Cr: 74.65; P.S.: 91.25; Cd: 74.65. D and similarly Ayaz et al. [24] evaluated Cd and Pb removal in concentration ranges of 4. 5-8. 0 mg/L Cd, 6.81 -8. 01 mg/L of Pb, using *Pistia stratiotes* and *Eichhornia crassipes* managed to remove 93.3% of Cd, 97.7 of

Pb and in a time of 60 days. Xie et al. [35] studied the phytoremediation of wastewater with a content of Cd, Cr and Pb using *Pistia stratiotes*, after 45 days of culture the concentration was reduced to 0. 25–0. 90 mg/L and 0. 78–1.4 mg/L of 5 mg/L achieving removals of 72% to 84.4%.

Experiments with *Salvinia molesta* with a concentration of 1 mg/L achieve removals of Cr:

99.0; Pb: 99.0; Cd: 99.0. for the concentration of 5 mg/L are removed from Cr: 83.72; Pb: 90.64; Cd: 91.50 and with 10 mg/L removals are Cr: 62.29; Pb: 89.20; Cd: 83.72.

The results show that *Salvinia molesta* was efficient in reducing the concentration of metals in wastewater, so the data obtained are purchased with George et al. [36] study the potential of *Salvinia molesta* finding that wastewater with Cd and Pb, after ten days of treatment removes a large amount of these metals so its detection is very low, is below the limit of (0.01 and 0.05 ppm). On the other hand, Biju et al. [25] studied wastewater from the textile industry which contained 20 mg/L of Cr and after eight days of treatment with *Salvinia molesta* reached a removal of 82%.

3.4 Toxic Metal Removal Kinetics

The kinetic behavior of the experiments shown in Fig. 3 was considered that the model for the removal of toxic metals, is of the first order. In Table 3 we can see the kinetic parameters, where in most experiments has an adjustment of R^2 higher than 0.80, only in the removal of lead with 10 mg/L made by *Salvinia molesta* the value is lower reaching 0.77. For the treatments carried out with Cd we can highlight that the highest values of the constant k are obtained in the experiments carried out with *Eichhornia*

crassipes where the values are 0.047, 0.064, 0.228 (mg/L.d) with 1, 5 and 10 mg/L respectively. In case of experiments with Pb happens a similar behavior *Eichhornia crassipes* reaches the highest values ranging from 0.046, 0.073, 0.223 (mg/L.d) with 1, 5 and 10 mg/L respectively. Finally, the experiments carried out with Cr, the highest values of k are 0.145, 0.047, 0.218 (mg/L.d) with 1, 5 and 10 mg/L respectively, which also belong to *Eichhornia crassipes*. It is evident that *Eichhornia crassipes*, has a higher assimilation rate of Cd, Cr and Pb compared to other macrophytes.

3.5 Taguchi Design Analysis

The conditions for achieving the highest removal efficiency for each factor are shown in Fig. 4. The conditions for cadmium (Fig. 4.A) are reached when you have 1 mg/L of Cd, 5 mg/L of Cr, 1 mg/L of Pb in a time of 21 days. To achieve optimal levels of chromium removal (Fig. 4.B) it is necessary to have the concentration of 1 mg/L of each metal Cd, Cr, and Pb, for this case HTR does not play such a relevant role, but it is more significant the HTR of 21 days. Finally, to achieve the highest levels of lead removal (Fig. 4.C) you can have a concentration of 5 mg/L of Cd, while chromium can contain between 10 to 5 mg/L and Cd 1 mg/L of chromium is needed, for this case if it is very marked the removal in a HRT of 21 days is more significant.





Fig. 3. First-order model correlation with removal kinetics for Cd (a: 1 mg/L, 5 mg/L,10 mg/L), Cr (b: 1 mg/L, 5 mg/L,10 mg/L) and Pb (c: 1 mg/L, 5 mg/L10 mg/L) in the different treatments


Table 3. Kinetic parameters

Cd	K (mg/L.d)			R ²		
	1 mg/L	5 mg/L	10 mg/L	1 mg/L	5 mg/L	10 mg/L
<i>Eichhornia crassipes</i>	0.047	0.064	0.228	0.88	0.95	0.93
<i>Pistia stratiotes L</i>	0.027	0.046	0.232	0.93	0.84	0.98
<i>Salvinia molesta</i>	0.035	0.05	0.238	0.83	0.94	0.97
Pb						
<i>Eichhornia crassipes</i>	0.046	0.073	0.223	0.87	0.99	0.8
<i>Pistia stratiotes L</i>	0.044	0.058	0.224	0.94	0.99	0.83
<i>Salvinia molesta</i>	0.044	0.049	0.215	0.94	0.99	0.77
Cr						
<i>Eichhornia crassipes</i>	0.145	0.047	0.218	0.98	0.88	0.84
<i>Pistia stratiotes L</i>	0.038	0.027	0.217	0.7	0.93	0.82
<i>Salvinia molesta</i>	0.018	0.035	0.216	0.9	0.83	0.81

A



B






Fig. 4. Optimal levels for higher % removal efficiency of a) Cd b) Cr c) Pb

The Taguchi analysis showed the best conditions to perform phytoremediation Table 4, the optimal conditions are at low concentrations when you have 1 mg/L of each of the toxic metals and the most suitable HRT is after 21 days.

Table 4. Optimal values in Taguchi analysis

Cd^{+2}	Cr^{+3}	Pb^{+2}	HTR
mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	days
1	1	1	21

4. CONCLUSIONS

The findings of this work show that *Eichhornia crassipes*, *Pistia stratiotes* and *Salvinia molesta* are efficient in the removal of toxic metals (Cd, Cr and Pb). Although different removal efficiencies are shown for each toxic metal, the removals of *Eichhornia crassipes* stand out, achieving a higher removal rate. *Eichhornia crassipes* is the optimal macrophyte to efficiently remove compounds such as Cd, Cr and Pb in a time of 21 days. In general, the days from 15 to 21 were where the greatest elimination of Cd, Cr and Pb was achieved. Being the day 21 the day considered the most appropriate.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Zhou Q, Yang N, Li Y, Ren B, Ding X, Bian H, et al. Total concentrations and sources of heavy metal pollution in global river and lake water bodies from 1972 to 2017. *Glob Ecol Conserv.* 2020;22:e00925. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2020.e00925>
- Caspersen S, Ganrot Z. Closing the loop on human urine: Plant availability of zeolite-recovered nutrients in a peat-based substrate. *J Environ Manage.* 2018;211:177-90. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.01.053>, PMID 29408065.
- Newman MC. Fundamentos de ecotoxicología: la ciencia de la contaminación. Boca Raton, Londres, Nueva York: CRC press, Taylor & Francis Group; 2014.
- Yang Y, Christakos G, Guo M, Xiao L, Huang W. Space-time quantitative source apportionment of soil heavy metal concentration increments. *Environ Pollut.* 2017;223:560-6. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.01.058>
- Gholipour A, Zahabi H, Stefanakis AI. A novel pilot and full-scale constructed wetland study for glass industry wastewater treatment. *Chemosphere.* 2020;247:125966.

- Available:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosp here.2020.125966>
6. Wang W, Cui J, Li J, Du J, Chang Y, Cui J, et al. Removal effects of different emergent-aquatic-plant groups on Cu, Zn, and Cd compound pollution from simulated swine wastewater. *J Environ Manage.* 2021;296:113251. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.113251>
 7. Chen W, Zhang X, Zhang J, Duan N, Gong X, Liu S et al. Characteristics, sources and health risk of heavy metals in road dust in the typical county town, central China. *Appl Sci.* 2022;12(24):12958. Available:<https://doi.org/10.3390/app122412958>
 8. Mondal B, Bordoloi N. Metal in water: An assessment of toxicity with its biogeochemistry. Global sources, significance, and treatment advances in environmental pollution research. In: *Metals. Water.* Elsevier; 2023. p. 71-91. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-95919-3.00017-3>
 9. Lu B, Xu Z, Li J, Chai X. Removal of water nutrients by different aquatic plant species: An alternative way to remediate polluted rural rivers. *Ecol Eng.* 2018;110:18-26. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2017.09.016>
 10. Singh J, Kumar V, Kumar P, Kumar P. Kinetics and prediction modeling of heavy metal phytoremediation from glass industry effluent by water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*). *Int J Environ Sci Technol.* 2022;19(6):5481-92. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13762-021-03433-9>
 11. Ijaz A, Imran A, Anwar ul Haq MA, Khan QM, Afzal M. Phytoremediation: Recent advances in plant-endophytic synergistic interactions. *Plant Soil.* 2016a;405(1-2):179-95. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-015-2606-2>
 12. Rehman K, Imran A, Amin I, Afzal M. Inoculation with bacteria in floating treatment wetlands positively modulates the phytoremediation of oil field wastewater. *J Hazard Mater.* 2018;349:242-51. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2018.02.013>
 13. Wu S, Lyu T, Zhao Y, Vymazal J, Arias CA, Brix H. Rethinking intensification of constructed wetlands as a green eco-technology for wastewater treatment. ACS Publications; 2018. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b00010>
 14. Kumar S, Nand S, Dubey D, Pratap B, Dutta V. Variation in extracellular enzyme activities and their influence on the performance of surface-flow constructed wetland microcosms (CWMs). *Chemosphere.* 2020;251:126377. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.126377>
 15. Wu H, Gao X, Wu M, Zhu Y, Xiong R, Ye S. The efficiency and risk to groundwater of constructed wetland system for domestic sewage treatment-A case study in Xiantao, China. *J Cleaner Prod.* 2020;277:123384. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.123384>
 16. Zhang X, Wang T, Xu Z, Zhang L, Dai Y, Tang X, et al. Effect of heavy metals in mixed domestic-industrial wastewater on performance of recirculating standing hybrid constructed wetlands (RSHCWs) and their removal. *Chem Eng J.* 2020;379:122363. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.122363>
 17. Cheng S, Grosse W, Karrenbrock F, Thoennesen M. Eficiencia de humedales artificiales en la descontaminación de aguas contaminadas por metales pesados. *Ecol Indic.* 2002;18:317-25. Available:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-8574\(01\)00091-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-8574(01)00091-X)
 18. Kröpfelová L, Vymazal J, Švehla J, Štichová J. Removal of trace elements in three horizontal sub-surface flow constructed wetlands in the Czech Republic. *Environ Pollut.* 2009;157(4):1186-94. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2008.12.003>
 19. Vymazal J, Březinová T. Accumulation of heavy metals in aboveground biomass of *Phragmites australis* in horizontal flow constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment: A review. *Chem Eng J.* 2016;290:232-42.

- Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2015.12.108>
20. Wang X, Gao G, Liu B, Gong Z, Luo J, Wang K, et al. Distribution of duckweed germplasm resources and its response to water environment factors in Poyang Lake basin. *Chin J Appl Environ Biol.* 2020a; 26(4):999-1008.
Available: <https://doi.org/10.19675/j.cnki.1006-687x.2020.08020>
 21. Ibezim-Ezeani MU, Ihunwo OC. Assessment of Pb, Cd, Cr and Ni in water and water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*) plant from Woji Creek, Rivers State, Nigeria. *Niger J App Sci Environ Manag.* 2020;24(4):719-27.
Available: <https://doi.org/10.4314/jasem.v24i4.26>
 22. Eid EM, Alrumman SA, Galal TM, El-Bebany AF. Regression models for monitoring trace metal accumulations by *Faba sativa* Bernh. Plants grown in soils amended with different rates of sewage sludge. *Sci Rep.* 2019;9(1):5443.
Available: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-41807-9>
 23. Eid EM, Shaltout KH, Moghanm FS, Youssef MS, El-Mohsnawy E, Haroun SA. Bioaccumulation and translocation of nine heavy metals by *Eichhornia crassipes* in Nile Delta, Egypt: perspectives for phytoremediation. *Int J Phytoremediation.* 2019b;21(8):1:1566885.
Available: <https://doi.org/10.1080/15226514.2019.1566885>
 24. Ayaz T, Khan S, Khan AZ, Lei M, Alam M. Remediation of industrial wastewater using four hydrophyte species: A comparison of individual (pot experiments) and mix plants (constructed wetland). *J Environ Manage.* 2020;255:109833.
Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.109833>
 25. Biju LM, Kumar PS, Kavitha R, Rajagopal R, Rangasamy G, Rangasamy G. Application of *Salvinia* ssp. in remediation of reactive mixed azo dyes and Cr(VI)-Its pathway elucidation. *Environ Res.* 2023; 216(3):114635. 261-2310.
Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2022.114635>
 26. Loloide Z, Mozaffarian M, Solieman M, Asassian N. Carbonization and CO₂ activation of scrap tires: Optimization of specific surface area by the Taguchi method, Kor. *Chem Eng J.* 2016;1-10:195.
Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11814-016-0266-4>
 27. Canales-Flores RA, Prieto-García F. Taguchi optimization for production of activated carbon from phosphoric acid impregnated agricultural waste by microwave heating for the removal of methylene blue. *Diam Relat Mater.* 2020;109:108027.
Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diamond.2020.108027>
 28. Queiroz RCS, Lôbo IP, Ribeiro VS, Rodrigues LB, Almeida Neto JAD. Assessment of autochthonous aquatic macrophytes with phytoremediation potential for dairy wastewater treatment in floating constructed wetlands. *Int J Phytoremediation.* 2020;22(5):518-28.
Available: <https://doi.org/10.1080/15226514.2019.1686603>
 29. APHA, AWWA, WEF. Standard Methods for examination of water and wastewater. 22nd ed. Washington: American Public Health Association. 2012;1360.
 30. Herman-Lara E, Bolívar-Moreno D, Toledo-López VM, Cuevas-Glory LF, Lope-Navarrete MC, Barrón-Zambrano JA et al. Minerals multi-element analysis and geographical origin of artisanal goat cheeses. *Food Sci Technol.* 2019; 39(2);Suppl 2:517-25.
Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/fst.23918>
 31. Ali S, Abbas Z, Rizwan M, Zaheer IE, Yavaş İ, Ünay A, et al. Application of floating aquatic plants in phytoremediation of heavy metals polluted water: A review. *Sustainability.* 2020;12(5):1927.
Available: <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12051927>
 32. Mendoza YI, Pérez I, Galindo AA. Evaluation of the contribution of aquatic plants *Pistia stratiotes* and *Eichhornia crassipes* in the treatment of municipal wastewater. *Inf Tecnol.* 2018;29(2):205-14.
Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0718-07642018000200205>
 33. Li J, Luan YN, Sun XY, Yu HX, Qi N, Wu XY. Research advances in remediation of heavy metal contaminated water bodies by aquatic plants. *World For Res.* 2015;28(1):31-5 (in Chinese).

34. Mojiri A, Tajuddin RM, Ahmad Z, Ziyang L, Aziz HA, Amin NM. Chromium(VI) and cadmium removal from aqueous solutions using the BAZLSC/cockle shell constructed wetland system: Optimization with RSM. *Int J Environ Sci Technol.* 2018; 15(9):1949-56.
Available:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13762-017-1561-2>
35. Xie P, Zahoor F, Iqbal SS, Zahoor S, Ullah S, Noman M, et al. Elimination of toxic heavy metals from industrial polluted water by using hydrophytes. *J Cleaner Prod.* 2022;352:131358.
Available:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.131358>
36. George GT, Gabriel JJ. Phytoremediation of heavy metals from municipal wastewater by *Salvinia molesta* Mitchell. *The Saudi J Life Sci.* 2017;2(3):108-15.
37. Abhayawardhana MLDD, Bandara NJGJ, Rupasinge SKLS. Removal of heavy metals and nutrients from municipal wastewater using *Salvinia molesta* and *Lemna gibba*. *JTFE.* 2019;9(2).
Available:<https://doi.org/10.31357/jtfe.v9i2.4469>
38. Ali H, Khan E, Sajad MA. Phytoremediation of heavy metals—concepts and applications. *Chemosphere.* 2013;91(7):869-81.
Available:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.01.075>
39. Arias A, Ramírez A, Fernández V, Sánchez NE. The use of Common duckweed (*Lemna minor*) in the treatment of wastewater from the washing of sisal fiber (*Furcraea bedinghausii*). *Ingeniería Compet.* 2015;18(2):25-34.
40. Ayyasamy PM, Rajakumar S, Sathishkumar M, Swaminathan K, Shanthi K, Lakshmanaperumalsamy P, et al. Nitrate removal from synthetic medium and groundwater with aquatic macrophytes. *Desalination.* 2009;242(1-3):286-96.
Available:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2008.05.008>
41. Bayen S. Occurrence, bioavailability and toxic effects of trace metals and organic contaminants in mangrove ecosystems: A review. *Environ Int.* 2012;48:84-101.
Available:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2012.07.008>
42. Bavandpour F, Zou Y, He Y, Saeed T, Sun Y, Sun G. Removal of dissolved metals in wetland columns filled with shell grits and plant biomass. *Chem Eng J.* 2018;331:234-41.
Available:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2017.08.112>
43. Gill LW, Ring P, Higgins NMP, Johnston PM. Accumulation of heavy metals in a constructed wetland treating road runoff. *Ecol Eng.* 2014;70:133-9.
Available:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2014.03.056>
44. Guo R, Jin H, Wu H, Huang H, Ye X, Xu Y, et al. Total content of heavy metals and their chemical form changes in multilevel wastewater treatment system in intensive swine farm. *Trans Chin Soc Agric Eng.* 2018;34(6):210-6.
45. Kobielska PA, Howarth AJ, Farha OK, Nayak S. Metal organic frameworks for heavy metal removal from water. *Coord Chem Rev.* 2018;358:92-107.
Available:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2017.12.010>
46. Kodituwakku KARK, Yatawara M. Phytoremediation of industrial sewage sludge with *Eichhornia crassipes*, *Salvinia molesta* and *Pistia stratiotes* in batch fed free water flow constructed wetlands. *Bull Environ Contam Toxicol.* 2020;104(5):627-33.
Available:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00128-020-02805-0>
47. Kumar V, Parihar RD, Sharma A, Bakshi P, Singh Sidhu GP, Bali AS, et al. Global evaluation of heavy metal content in surface water bodies: A meta-analysis using heavy metal pollution indices and multivariate statistical analyses. *Chemosphere.* 2019;236:124364.
Available:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.124364>
48. Kumar V, Singh J, Chopra AK. Assessment of plant growth attributes, bioaccumulation, enrichment, and translocation of heavy metals in water lettuce (*Pistia stratiotes* L.) grown in sugar mill effluent. *Int J Phytoremediation.* 2018;20(5):507-21.
Available:<https://doi.org/10.1080/15226514.2017.1393391>
49. Lesage E, Rousseau DPL, Meers E, Tack FMG, De Pauw N. Accumulation of metals in a horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetland treating domestic wastewater in

- Flanders, Belgium. *Sci Total Environ.* 2007;380(1-3):102-15.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2006.10.055
50. Li YZ, Zhou QQ, Ren B, Luo J, Yuan JR, Ding XH et al. Trends and health risks of dissolved heavy metal pollution in global river and lake water from 1970 to 2017. *Rev Environ Contam Toxicol.* 2020a;251:1-24.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1007/398_2019_27
51. Liu H, Hu Z, Zhang J, Ngo HH, Guo W, Liang S, et al. Optimizations on supply and distribution of dissolved oxygen in constructed wetlands: a review. *Bioresour Technol.* 2016;214:797-805.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.05.003
52. Malyan SK, Kumar SS, Singh L, Singh R, Jadhav DA, Kumar V. Bioelectrochemical systems for removal and recovery of heavy metals. In: *Bioremediation, nutrients, and other valuable product recovery.* Elsevier. 2021;185-203.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-821729-0.00005-1
53. Martínez-Peña L, López-Candela C. Floating islands as a strategy for the establishment of aquatic plants in the Botanical Garden of Bogotá. *Gestión Ambiente.* 2018;21(1):110-20.
Available:https://doi.org/10.15446/ga.v21n1.69209
54. Messer TL, Miller DN, Little H, Oathout K. Nitrate-N removal rate variabilities in floating treatment wetland mesocosms with diverse planting and carbon amendment designs. *Ecol Eng.* 2022; 174:106444.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2021.106444
55. Morales Rojas E, Reyes Farje J, Quiñones Huatangari L, Milla Pino M. Effect of the water Jacinto (*Eichhornia crassipes*) on the deposition of the residual water of the collector Santa Lucia-Chachapoyas. *Rev Cienc Tecnol.* 2019;15(4):19-25. ISSN 1810-6781.
56. Nahlik AM, Blocksom KA, Herlihy AT, Kentula ME, Magee TK, Paulsen SG. Use of national-scale data to examine human-mediated additions of heavy metals to wetland soils of the US. *Environ Monit Assess.* 2019;191;Suppl 1:336.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-019-7315-5
57. Prajapati M, van Bruggen JJA, Dalu T, Malla R. Assessing the effectiveness of pollutant removal by macrophytes in a floating wetland for wastewater treatment. *Appl Water Sci.* 2017;7(8):4801-9.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-017-0625-2
58. Ramachandra TV, Sudarshan PB, Mahesh MK, Vinay S. Spatial patterns of heavy metal accumulation in sediments and macrophytes of Bellandur wetland, Bangalore. *J Environ Manage.* 2018;206: 1204-10.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.10.014
59. Shahid MJ, Ali S, Shabir G, Siddique M, Rizwan M, Seleiman MF, et al. Comparing the performance of four macrophytes in bacterial assisted floating treatment wetlands for the removal of trace metals (Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb, and Cr) from polluted river water. *Chemosphere.* 2020; 243:125353.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.125353
60. Troncoso-Gómez A, Aponte H. Influence of salinity and aeration on the growth of *Limnobium laevigatum*. *Ecol Apl.* 2020;19(1):1-7. ISSN 1726-2216.
DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.21704/rea.v19i1.1440
61. Victor KK, Sèka Y, Norbert KK, Sanogo TA, Celestin AB. Phytoremediation of wastewaters toxicity using water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*) and water lettuce (*Pistia stratiotes*). *Int J Phytoremediation.* 2016;18(10):949-55.
doi: 10.1080/15226514.2016.1183567, PMID 27159271.
62. Goswami C, Majumder A. Potential of *Lemna minor* in Ni and Cr removal from aqueous solution. *Pollution.* 2015;1(4): 373-385.
DOI:10.7508/PJ.2015.04.003
63. Barajas JRH, Margulis RGB, Cerino MJR, Silvan RS, Ocaña GL. Evaluation of free flow and subsurface constructed wetlands in the removal of pollutants from wastewater using different species of macrophyte vegetation. *Interscience.* 2016;41(1):40-47.
64. Association of Official Analytical Chemists – AOAC. Official methods of analysis of the Association of Official Analytical

- Chemists: ash of cheese (Method 935.42). Gaithersburg: AOAC; 2012.
65. Singh J, Kumar V, Kumar P, Kumar P. Kinetics and prediction modeling of heavy metal phytoremediation from glass industry effluent by water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*). International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology. 2022;19(6):5481-5492. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13762-021-03433-9>

© 2023 Hernández-Vasquez et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/101642>